

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	8:22-cv-02020-DOC-ADSx	Date	February 6, 2023
Title	Deondre Raglin v. Stephani K. Gulewich et al.		

Present: The Honorable DAVID O. CARTER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

<u>Karlen Dubon</u> Deputy Clerk	<u>None Present</u> Court Reporter / Recorder	Tape No.
-------------------------------------	--	----------

<u>Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:</u> None Present	<u>Attorneys Present for Defendants:</u> None Present
--	--

Proceedings: **(IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

The Court, on its own motion, hereby ORDERS plaintiff, to show cause in writing no later than **February 10, 2023**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. As an alternative to a written response by plaintiffs, the Court will consider the filing of one of the following, as an appropriate response to this OSC, on or before the above date:

Plaintiff filing a Motion for Entry of Default Judgment (FRCivP 55b) as to all defendants.

It is plaintiff's responsibility to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time to respond. If necessary, plaintiffs must also pursue Rule 55 remedies promptly upon the default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by the Court. Local Rule 7-1.

No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a responsive pleading or motion on or before the date upon which a response by plaintiffs is due.

Failure to respond by the date above will result in a Dismissal for Lack of Prosecution.

Initial of Deputy Clerk kdu